UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,244	06/05/2006	Kai Dolling	Muller-52	8017
39703 C. JAMES BUS	7590 08/17/201 SHMAN	EXAMINER		
5851 San Felipe		FIORITO, JAMES		
	SUITE 975 HOUSTON, TX 77057			PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/564,244	DOLLING ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JAMES A. FIORITO	1793		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/2/3 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	 s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,6-12 and 14-17 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,6-12 and 14-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Peferonces Cited (PTO 202)	4) 🖂 Indon ilanı Currer	(PTO 442)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-12, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch US 3152865 in view of Noweck US 6773690.

Koch teaches a process of making boehmite alumina by hydrothermal aging of an aluminum alcoholate (Column 1 Lines 35-45) in the presence of a chelating agent comprised of an organic component containing multi carboxylate ions or a polycarboxylic radical (Column 3 Lines 15-29). The chelating agent is generally used in an amount of about 0.5% to 3% of the dry alumina (Column 2 Lines 44-45). The pH of the mixture is greater than 7, preferably between 8 and 9.5 (Column 2 Lines 35-40).

Koch does not teach that the aging process is performed at temperature between 120 and 250 degrees C.

Noweck teaches a process of making boehmitic aluminas, where in a metallic or nonmetalic oxide, or oxide hydrate is present in a hydrothermal aging process, requiring an aging temperature of between 40 and 240 degrees C (Column 3 Lines 5-13).

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form the process of Koch including the use of a metallic or nonmetalic oxide, or oxide hydrate in the hydrothermal aging process, requiring an aging temperature of between 40 and 240 degrees C in view of the process of Noweck. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to make crystalline boehmite aluminas (Column 3 Lines 5-13).

Koch does not expressly state that the hydrolysis is carried out at a temperature of from 50 to 95 degrees C.

Noweck teaches that hydrolysis of aluminum alkoxides may be performed at a temperature generally between 60 to 100 degrees C (Column 2 Lines 35-40).

At the time of invention it would been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform the hydrolysis step of Koch (Column 1 Lines 34-44) at a temperature between 60 and 100 degrees C in view of the teaching of Noweck. The suggestion or motivation would be to provide a temperature to the process required by Koch but not disclosed.

With respect to claim 2, the chelating agent may be added in an amount of *about* 0.5 to 2%, which would include at least 0.4%.

With respect to claim 3, the chelating agent may be oxalic or tartaric acid (Column 3 Line 25).

With respect to claim 4, Noweck teaches that hydrolysis of aluminum alkoxides may be performed at a temperature generally between 60 to 100 degrees C (Column 2 Lines 35-40).

With respect to claim 6, Noweck teaches a process of making boehmitic aluminas, where in a metallic or nonmetalic oxide, or oxide hydrate is present in a hydrothermal aging process, requiring an aging temperature of between 40 and 240 degrees C (Column 3 Lines 5-13).

With respect to claim 7, Koch teaches the alumina content may be 13% (Example 1).

With respect to claims 8-12, the product obtained by the process of Koch in view of Noweck would be expected to possess these product limitation, since the process of making the product is obvious.

With respect to claim 14, use of alumina as a catalyst carrier is one of the most common uses of alumina.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/2/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the amendment of 7/2/10 including the limitation "to provide a boehmetric alumina having an alpha conversion temperature of 1350 degrees C and higher" overcomes the prior art. In response, Noweck teaches the alumina product exhibits theta phase alumina when calcination is performed at 1200 degrees C (Example 2). Therefore, of ordinary skill in the art would expect for the product of Noweck to exhibit alpha phase alumina at calcination temperatures of 1300 degrees C or higher, because alpha phase is obtained at higher temperatures than theta phase alumina (See Noweck Column 1 Lines 30-32).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES A. FIORITO whose telephone number is (571)272-7426. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 6pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,244 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James A Fiorito/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793

/Stanley Silverman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793